

Un journaliste canadien conteste les procédés du journaliste de la BBC lors de l'interview du 1er Ministre d'Arménie

"Dear Sir/Madam,

I would like to complain about Mr. Sackur's interview with Mr. Pashinyan of Armenia.

Mr. Sackur has a reputation to maintain as a tough interviewer but his behavior was hammy from A to Z. A performer rather than a prober. He was "tough" armed with hostility towards Armenia and the questions his producer/writers had drafted for him. He was rude while visibly preening about his interview method. I am certain he would have handled Mr. Netanyahu with kid gloves in fear of losing his job. But with Armenia-small, impoverished, and blockaded country-it's easy to have a field day-especially since the leader of Armenia hasn't had the benefit of English language instruction-which Mr. Sackur has enjoyed-at one of your public schools.

Even before the beginning of the interview, Mr. Sackur revealed his anti-Armenian/anti-Pashinyan bias when he "headlined" the interview with the question: "Is Armenia preoccupied with fighting old battles?" A loaded question which no professional journalist should pose without evidence or before an interview. What's the point of the interview when you already have the answer?

The rhetorical question, which was intended to tell viewers that "Armenia is the bad guy", is pregnant with the following insinuations: [Read More](#)

